

Accepted Manuscript

## *Geological Society, London, Special Publications*

### A multi-disciplinary investigation of the AFEN Slide: The relationship between contourites and submarine landslides

Ricarda Gatter, Michael A. Clare, James E. Hunt, Millie Watts, B. N. Madhusudhan, Peter J. Talling & Katrin Huhn

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1144/SP500-2019-184>

Received 30 September 2019

Revised 27 November 2019

Accepted 27 November 2019

© 2020 The Author(s). This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). Published by The Geological Society of London. Publishing disclaimer: [www.geolsoc.org.uk/pub\\_ethics](http://www.geolsoc.org.uk/pub_ethics)

To cite this article, please follow the guidance at

[https://www.geolsoc.org.uk/~media/Files/GSL/shared/pdfs/Publications/AuthorInfo\\_Text.pdf?la=en](https://www.geolsoc.org.uk/~media/Files/GSL/shared/pdfs/Publications/AuthorInfo_Text.pdf?la=en)

#### **Manuscript version: Accepted Manuscript**

This is a PDF of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting and correction before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the book series pertain.

Although reasonable efforts have been made to obtain all necessary permissions from third parties to include their copyrighted content within this article, their full citation and copyright line may not be present in this Accepted Manuscript version. Before using any content from this article, please refer to the Version of Record once published for full citation and copyright details, as permissions may be required.

## **A multi-disciplinary investigation of the AFEN Slide: The relationship between contourites and submarine landslides**

### **Submarine slope failure in contourites**

Ricarda Gatter<sup>1\*</sup>, Michael A. Clare<sup>2</sup>, James E. Hunt<sup>2</sup>, Millie Watts<sup>2</sup>, B.N. Madhusudhan<sup>3</sup>, Peter J. Talling<sup>4</sup>, Katrin Huhn<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*MARUM – Center for Marine Environmental Sciences, University of Bremen, Germany*

<sup>2</sup>*University of Southampton, Faculty of Engineering and the Environment, Southampton, UK*

<sup>3</sup>*National Oceanography Centre, Southampton European Way, Southampton, UK*

<sup>4</sup>*University of Durham, Department of Earth Sciences and Geography, Durham, UK*

\*[rgatter@marum.de](mailto:rgatter@marum.de)

### **Abstract**

Contourite drifts are sediment deposits formed by ocean bottom currents on continental slopes worldwide. Although it has become increasingly apparent that contourites are often prone to slope failure, the physical controls on slope instability remain unclear. This study presents high-resolution sedimentological, geochemical and geotechnical analyses of sediments to better understand the physical controls on slope failure that occurred within a sheeted contourite drift within the Faroe-Shetland Channel. We aim to identify and characterize the failure plane of the late Quaternary landslide (the AFEN Slide), and explain its location within the sheeted drift stratigraphy. The analyses reveal abrupt lithological contrasts characterized by distinct changes in physical, geochemical and geotechnical properties. Our findings indicate that the AFEN Slide likely initiated along a distinct lithological interface, between overlying sandy contouritic sediments and softer underlying mud-rich sediments. These lithological contrasts are interpreted to relate to climatically-controlled variations in sediment input and bottom current intensity. Similar lithological contrasts are likely to be common within contourite drifts at many other oceanic gateways worldwide; hence our findings are likely to apply more widely. As we demonstrate here, recognition of such contrasts requires multi-disciplinary data over the depth range of stratigraphy that is potentially prone to slope failure.

Thermohaline-driven ocean bottom currents create sedimentary accumulations called contourites that are found along the world's continental margins (e.g. McCave and Tucholke, 1986; Rebesco and Stow 2001; Stow et al., 2002). Contourites can cover extremely large areas (from  $<100 \text{ km}^2$  to  $>100,000 \text{ km}^2$ ), forming a variety of depositional geometries that include elongated, mounded, sheeted, channelized and mixed drift systems (Faugères et al., 1999; Rebesco and Stow, 2001; Stow et al., 2002; Faugères and Stow, 2008). It has become increasingly apparent that contourite drifts are prone to slope instability (Laberg and Camerlenghi, 2008), with submarine landslides recognized in a wide range of locations affected by bottom currents (Table 1).

The affinity of contourite drifts for slope failure can be linked in part to deposit morphology (Figure 1, Table 1). In some locations, contour-parallel currents modify the continental slope profile, creating mounded accumulations of sediment which are thicker and steeper than those on slopes unaffected by bottom currents (Laberg and Camerlenghi, 2008; Rebesco et al., 2014). Factors such as sediment supply, intensity and location of currents, and sea level and climatic changes control the presence or absence, location, growth and morphology of contourites (Faugères and Stow, 2008; Rebesco et al., 2014). A number of compound morphological effects have been implicated as pre-conditioning and/or triggering mechanisms for slope instability, which include: slope over-steepening due to rapid sediment accumulation (A, Figure 1) or due to erosion by vigorous along-slope currents (B, Figure 1), and loading resulting from differential sediment accumulation (C, Figure 1). These effects occur particularly where contourites form as mounded accumulations (Laberg and Camerlenghi, 2008; Prieto et al., 2016; Miramontes et al., 2018). However, submarine landslides, some of which include the largest on our planet (e.g. Storegga; Bryn et al., 2005a), often occur within contourite drifts with very low angle ( $<2^\circ$ ) slopes (e.g. Hühnerbach et al., 2004). Another explanation for slope instability in contourite drifts, therefore, relates to specific compositional and geotechnical properties of contourites (Figure 1, Table 1; Lindberg et al., 2004; Kvalstad et al., 2005). Plausible controls include prominent layers within the slope stratigraphy (Figure 1) which may feature a lower peak or post-peak shear strength than over- and underlying strata, such as i) laterally extensive (sometimes cm-thin) homogeneous layers of weaker, sensitive material which is prone to sudden strength loss (e.g. sensitive clay in the Storegga Slide, Norway – Kvalstad et al., 2005; sensitive zeolite layer in the N Tyrrhenian Sea – Miramontes et al., 2018), or ii) thick accumulations of sandy material which is characterized by high sedimentation rates, promoting excess pore pressure (Laberg and Camerlenghi, 2008; Ai et al., 2014). Another plausible control relates to lithological and/or geotechnical contrasts within a depositional sequence that may result from rapid changes in current regime, sediment input or type (e.g. Rashid et al., 2017; iii, Figure 1).

Detailed sedimentological and geotechnical studies of landslides within contourites are scarce (Baeten et al., 2013; Miramontes et al., 2018), and there is still much uncertainty as to which specific aspects act as the dominant control on slope instability. Many studies rely solely upon remote geophysical data for landslide characterization, and if sediment cores are acquired, they typically do not penetrate to the failure plane (which may be 10s-100s of metres below the seafloor; Talling et al., 2014). Such cores also tend to focus on characterization of the failed landslide mass, rather than targeting sediments from adjacent undisturbed slopes. Targeting the undisturbed sediments of the adjacent slopes, including those stratigraphically equivalent to the failure plane of the landslide, however, is necessary in order to identify and characterize the material along which the landslide initiated, as these are usually removed or remoulded during failure. It is of critical importance to be able to identify sediments, which are prone to failure in order to perform reliable slope stability assessments (L'Heureux et al., 2012; Vardy et al., 2012).

### **Aims**

Here, we present a detailed characterization of a bedding-parallel, cohesive submarine landslide (called the AFEN Slide) that occurred within a low angle ( $<2.5^\circ$ ) laterally extensive sheeted contourite drift, based on physical, geochemical, sedimentological and geotechnical analyses. We focus on a core targeted to sample the pre-landslide sedimentary sequence, including sediments that correlate stratigraphically with the failure plane located further upslope. Based on centimetre-resolution characterization of these deposits we address the following questions. First, what is the nature of the undisturbed sediment and do material heterogeneities explain the location of the failure plane? As many aspects of cohesive landslides appear to be scale invariant, this study of a relatively small landslide may provide key insights into our understanding of much larger ones (Micallef et al., 2008; Chaytor et al., 2009; Baeten et al., 2013; Casas et al., 2016; Clare et al., 2018). Second, what causes the observed heterogeneities within the stratigraphy? We explore how climatic changes and ocean circulation may play a key role in governing not just the failure plane depth, but also influence the timing of slope failure. Finally, we discuss the implications of climatically-controlled sediment supply and deep ocean circulation for pre-conditioning slope instability in contourite depositional systems in oceanic gateways, which are narrow, deep passages connecting two adjacent basins, elsewhere in the world.

## **Background**

### ***Regional Setting***

#### *Geological and Morphological Setting*

The study area lies on the eastern flank of the Faroe-Shetland Channel, which is located north of Scotland, extending over 400 km between the Wyville-Thomson Ridge and the Norwegian Basin (Figure 2). The Faroe-Shetland Channel is a narrow basin, measuring 250 km at its widest in the northeast and less than 130 km in the southwest. The channel closely follows the trend of the regional NE-SW structural lineaments, and one of the NW-SE transfer zones (Victory Transfer Zone) passes close to the study area (Rumph et al., 1993; Wilson et al., 2004). The Faroe-Shetland channel is the present-day expression of the Faroe-Shetland Basin that can be dated back to the Late Palaeozoic (e.g. Rumph et al., 1993). Basin formation was probably initiated during the Devonian, while the main rift phase occurred during Cretaceous times (Dean et al., 1999; Roberts et al., 1999). Although extension is thought to have continued in places until the early to mid-Palaeocene (Smallwood and Gill, 2002), more or less continuous post-rift subsidence predominated throughout the Cenozoic (Turner and Scrutton, 1993). This subsidence was interrupted at various stages by contractional deformation (Ritchie et al., 2003; Johnson et al., 2005; Stoker et al., 2005; Ritchie et al., 2008) and regional uplift and tilting (Andersen et al., 2000; Smallwood and Gill, 2002; Stoker et al., 2002; Stoker et al., 2005). Following Late Palaeocene uplift, the Faroe-Shetland Channel has subsided about 2000 m, with present-day water depths of 1700 m in the north-east and 1000 m in the south-west, and slope angles between  $1^\circ$  and  $3^\circ$  flanking the eastern channel margin (Stoker et al., 1998; Andersen et al., 2000; Smallwood and Gill, 2002). The channel forms an important oceanic gateway, exchanging water masses between the North Atlantic and the Norwegian Sea (Broecker and Denton, 1990; Rahmstorf, 2002) since at least the Early Oligocene (Davies et al., 2001).

#### *Oceanography and Palaeoceanography*

In general, the present-day oceanography in the Faroe-Shetland Channel consists of warm surface water moving towards the northeast, and cold bottom water, generating relatively strong, erosive bottom currents (with velocities in the range between  $<0.3$  and  $>1.0$  m/s; Masson et al., 2004), moving towards the southwest (Figure 2A; Saunders, 1990; Turrell et al., 1999; Rasmussen et al., 2002). Five distinct water masses can be recognized based on

their salinity and temperature characteristics (Turrell et al., 1999). Two distinct, surface water masses transport warm water from the North Atlantic into the channel. North Atlantic Water (NAW) flows northward from the Rockall Trough (Turrell et al., 1999), while Modified North Atlantic Water (MNAW) flows clockwise around the Faroe Islands before turning northward in the Faroe-Shetland Channel (Saunders, 1990). These surface waters typically occupy the upper 200-400 m of the water column (Turrell et al., 1999). Arctic Intermediate Water (AIM) flows anticlockwise along the southern edge of the Norwegian Basin and around the Faroe-Shetland Channel, typically between 400 m and 600 m water depth (Blindheim, 1990). At the base of the channel (usually below 600 m water depth), the Norwegian Sea Arctic Intermediate Water (NSAIW) and the Faroe-Shetland Channel Bottom Water (FSCBW) are funnelled along the Faroe-Shetland Channel towards the south (Turrell et al., 1999) and flow along the Faroe Bank Channel into the Atlantic (Saunders, 1990). A small portion of the cold bottom water flows across the western end of the Wyville-Thomson Ridge south into the Rockall Trough (Stow and Holbrook, 1984). The velocity of these water masses is variable, both across the channel and over time. Average along slope velocities, mainly directed northeast of around 0.2 to 0.25 m/s were measured at around 500 to 700 m water depth (Van Raaphorst et al., 2001; Bonnin et al., 2002) and velocities over >1.0 m/s associated with southwest-directed bottom currents were inferred from observed bedforms (Masson et al., 2004). Periodical changes in salinity and temperature cause shifts of the boundaries between water masses on timescales from decades to hours (Turrell et al., 1999). Since the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM), when bottom and surface currents were weak, eight distinct changes in surface and bottom current regime were identified, which are related to the changes in climatic conditions (Rasmussen et al., 2002). Climatic and palaeoceanographic changes also reportedly caused strong cyclical variation in sediment accumulation (with up to 30 cm/ka along the Faroe Drift and up to 10 cm/ka along the West Shetland Drift; Rasmussen et al., 1996, 1998; Knutz and Cartwright, 2004; Nielsen et al., 2007).

### ***Contourite Deposits in the Faroe-Shetland Channel***

The regional oceanography has controlled the depositional architecture of the slope sediments, creating elongated mounded contourite drifts at the base of the slope (to the northeast of the AFEN Slide) and sheeted contourite drifts in the slide area (Long et al., 2004; Hohbein and Cartwright, 2006). These sheeted drifts are characterized by parallel, laterally continuous reflectors on seismic profiles (Masson, 2001). These reflectors can be traced over more than 50 km below the sea floor of the Faroe-Shetland Channel, which emphasizes the regional scale of bottom current activity and sheeted contourite drift accumulation (Stoker et al., 1998).

### ***The AFEN Slide***

The AFEN Slide was first identified in 1996, during an environmental survey for the Atlantic Frontiers Environmental Network in the region (Wilson et al., 2004). The slide is interpreted as a four-stage retrogressive landslide that occurred northwest of the Shetland Islands (UK) at water depths of 830 m to 1120 m on a slope varying from approximately 0.7° to about 2.5° (Wilson et al., 2004; Figure 2B). The total length from the head scarp to the toe of the lobe is over 12 km, and the maximum width is around 4.5 km. The slide involved  $\sim 200 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$  of sediment and the slide debris has a maximum thickness of 20 m, averaging between 5 m to 10 m (Wilson et al., 2004). Radiocarbon dating and biostratigraphy from the slide suggest that the first stage took place around 16 to 13 ka BP and the later retrogressive phases after 5.8 ka BP and prior to 2.8 ka BP (Wilson et al., 2004). Initial studies, based on high-resolution seismic data and cores, which did not penetrate the base of the slide, inferred that the failure plane comprised well-sorted contourite sands, which may liquefy during an earthquake (e.g. 10 000-year return period earthquake; Jackson et al., 2004). This hypothesis was supported by the presence of a buried slide, which appears to have occurred under similar physiographic conditions (Masson, 2001; Wilson et al., 2004). Such well-sorted contourite sands were not found by Madhusudhan et al. (2017), who analysed a new



sediment core (64PE391-01) that penetrated through the full extent of the deposits from the second stage of the landslide (Figure 2C). Instead, they proposed progressive failure of geotechnically-sensitive clays or liquefaction of silt layers. None of these previous cores sampled undisturbed material that corresponds stratigraphically with the failure plane.

## **Data and Methods**

Core 64PE391-04, which is the focus of this present study, was obtained during the RV Pelagia cruise 64PE391 in 2014 using a piston corer. The core was sampled within the AFEN Slide area, at a water depth of 945 m. It was targeted to sample undisturbed sediments, i.e. those characterized on seismic data by continuous reflectors and avoiding acoustically transparent, chaotic or disrupted seismic units and areas of hummocky seafloor texture likely indicative of slope failure (Shipp et al., 2011; Figure 2). Figure 2 shows the location of core 64PE391-04 on the deep tow boomer seismic profile, which has a maximum theoretical vertical resolution of 0.5 m, with a penetration of 100 ms, and was obtained from the BGS 00/02 survey (Wilson et al., 2005). The core recovered 11.49 m of sediment in a 15 m core barrel and was stored in the refrigerated storage at the British Ocean Sediment Core Facility (BOSCORF), UK, prior to study.

### ***Physical Properties Analysis***

A Geotek MSCL-S (Standard) multi-sensor core logger, based at BOSCORF, was used to measure P-wave velocity, gamma-ray bulk density, electrical resistivity, magnetic susceptibility, and fractional porosity which is derived from the measured sediment density at 1 cm intervals on split cores (Figure 3). MSCL is a commonly used, non-destructive tool that allows the recognition of subtle changes in sediment physical properties. The data is commonly used for correlation between cores, and calibration of seismic data using P-wave velocity. Density serves as an effective proxy for changes in sediment lithology and is used for the calculation of fractional porosity (Gunn and Best, 1998). Core images were obtained using the BOSCORF Geotek MSCL-CIS (Core Imaging System), which enables the acquisition of precise depth-registered images that can be correlated with the other datasets.

### ***Geochemical Analysis***

XRF (X-ray fluorescence) core scanning was used to determine the geochemical composition of the sediment (ITRAX™ COX Ltd. at BOSCORF; Croudace et al., 2006) at a spatial resolution of 1 cm. ITRAX scanning is a useful, rapid, non-destructive, high-resolution scanning technique which is widely used in earth and environmental sciences (Croudace and Rothwell, 2015). This method enables the measurement of element intensities, such as Ca and Sr, which correlate well with the carbonate content, or Fe, Ti and K which are related to the siliciclastic components, and vary directly with the terrigenous sediment input (e.g. Röhl and Abrams, 2000; Hepp et al., 2006). ITRAX data represents a semi-quantitative analysis of the relative element abundances downcore. Data is expressed as counts per second (cps), and are presented as log ratios which are accepted as a more accurate estimation of element concentrations. In addition, all XRF data is shown as log ratios of two elements, in order to show element concentrations more accurately and minimize matrix effects inherent to XRF (Weltje and Tjallingii, 2008). Ca/Sr, Ca/Fe and Fe/K have been selected, as these element ratios have been shown to reflect changes in sea level and temperature, sediment supply, and have been applied in climate studies (see Croudace and Rothwell, 2015). In addition to geochemical composition, the ITRAX instrument provided X-radiographs. X-radiographs are digital images of the internal structure and physical property changes within a split core section that are obtained using optical and radiographic line cameras.

### ***Grain Size Distribution***

Grain-size analysis was carried out at 10 cm depth intervals for sediments of Unit 2, 3 and 4 (see results for definition), following the procedures in Rothwell et al. (2006). The sediment was sieved to remove particles larger than 2 mm before the sample was dispersed in a 1 litre mixing chamber by shaking it for 24 hours. The dispersed sediment was circulated through a Malvern Mastersizer 3000 for 120 seconds over which time 12 measurements are taken and then averaged to obtain the grain size distribution.

### ***Geotechnical Analyses***

Water content and fall cone measurements were carried out at 10 cm intervals (BSI, 1990; BSI, 2004). Measurements of water content could be used as a first order approximation of the sediment's shear strength and compressibility (i.e. higher water content is related to poor shear strength and compressibility). An 80 g 30° fall cone was used on the split cores, regardless of the grain size and whether the tested material was considered to be saturated or not. The undrained shear strength was calculated from the fall cone measurements assuming all tests were carried out on saturated clays. Subsamples were taken for subsequent direct shear and oedometric tests.

#### ***Static, Drained Shear Test***

Direct shear experiments were carried out to compare the drained shear strength of prominent layers, identified from down-core logging, grain size distribution and standard geotechnical data. Cylindric, undisturbed samples (~5 cm<sup>2</sup>, 2.5 cm height) of intact samples were placed in the shear apparatus and consolidated via a vertical ram to insitu normal stress ( $\sigma_n$ ). The sample was consolidated until the sample height was constant (or min. 24 hours), so that the sample is assumed to be fully drained and the applied  $\sigma_n$  is approximately equal to the effective normal stress ( $\sigma'_n$ ). The effective normal stress is the difference between the normal stress and the pore water pressure ( $\sigma'_n = \sigma_n - u$ ; Terzaghi, 1925). Shearing occurs on a predefined plane, perpendicular to the vertical ram that exerts the normal stress. The shear displacement for each experiment was 9.5 mm at a shear rate of 0.008 mm/min. This shear rate is slow enough to allow constant drainage during shearing (Deutsches Institut für Normung, 2002). Samples were taken from around 7 m core depth, which corresponds to around 18 m below sea floor (assuming around 10 m of sediment was removed during the failure). The samples were sheared at a normal stress 170 kPa, simulating the effective hydrostatic vertical overburden stress ( $\sigma'_{v0}$ ) acting at around 18 m below sea floor (m b.s.f.) assuming an average sediment effective unit weight ( $\gamma'$ ) of 9.5 kN/m<sup>3</sup>.

#### ***Oedometer Test***

One-dimension consolidation tests were performed on selected undisturbed core samples (~20 cm<sup>2</sup>, 1.9 cm height) in order to measure and compare their permeability and consolidation parameters. The measured initial porosity, coefficient of compression ( $c_v$ ) and permeability ( $k$ ) can be used to make assumptions regarding the sediments' potential to build excess pore pressure. Incremental loading and unloading of 1 kPa to 7100 kPa stress were applied onto the sediment and the resulting displacement (change in volume) was measured. Each load was applied gradually and left until the displacement stabilized or primary consolidation was completed. Consolidation and permeability parameters were calculated from the settlement characteristics of the sediment using standard equations (Powrie, 2013).

### ***Data Analysis***

Physical and geochemical properties were compared using non-parametric tests that compare two unpaired groups of data and compute p values testing the null hypothesis of two groups having the same distribution. The data was analysed for the discrepancy between the mean ranks of two groups (Mann-Whitney test) and for their varying cumulative

distribution (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test) (Sheskin, 2011). The significance level for both tests was set to 0.05 (Fisher, 1926).

## Results

Piston core 64PE391-04 was obtained about 750 m down-slope from where the sediment ramped up the failure plane onto the seabed (failure Stage 1, Wilson et al, 2004; Figure 2C). The deep-tow boomer reflection seismic data indicate that the core penetrated pre-landslide sediments, including those stratigraphically equivalent to the failure plane of the slide. Based on the newly obtained data, we identify five main lithological units within the sediment core, which we now characterize using results from visual sediment core logging, particle size distribution, X-ray scanning, and continuous physical properties (MSCL) and geochemical (XRF) measurements (see summary in Figure 3 and 4). In addition, we present a geotechnical characterization of the recovered sediment based on water content and fall cone analyses, as well as direct shear (DS) and oedometer tests.

Visual sedimentary logging and grain size analysis indicate that the general lithology is bioturbated silty clay to clayey silt with a number of sandy silt and silty sand layers; consistent with previous analysis of sediment cores from the area (Madhusudhan et al., 2017). Sandy layers are only found in the upper part of the core (above 7.3 m depth). The lithology in the lower part of the core is generally homogenous with an absence of sand.

### ***Multi-Sensor Core Logger (MSCL) Data***

Down-core logging data show an abrupt and distinct change in physical properties at around 7.3 m depth, as well as more subtle variations that enabled demarcation of the five sediment units (Figure 3; Table 2). Unit 1 is largely indiscernible from Unit 2 based on physical properties, but does have much lower magnetic susceptibility. The sediments above the abrupt contact at 7.3 m (Unit 2 and 3) are generally characterized by high relative P-wave velocities, gamma-ray densities, electrical resistivity, and low relative values of fractional porosity (on average under 0.5). Unit 3 shows the highest electrical resistivity and gamma-ray densities in the core; hence is demarcated as an individual unit, rather than being subsumed within Unit 2. In the sediments immediately below 7.3 m (Unit 4), the most marked step in physical properties is observed, including a reduction in gamma-ray density from 2.0 to 1.7 g/cm<sup>3</sup>, and an increase in fractional porosity from approximately 0.45 to >0.55. Such a marked change was not observed in the magnetic susceptibility either side of this contact; however, the signal is generally more erratic above and less variable below (Figure 3). Below the contact at 7.3 m, P-wave velocity, gamma-ray density, and electrical resistivity gradually increase down-core (inversely mirroring a steady decrease in fractional porosity) until the start of Unit 5, which is marked by a sharp increase in magnetic susceptibility (from <70 to >165 m<sup>3</sup>/kg), and subtle increase in average P-wave velocity and gamma-ray density (Figure 3).

### ***X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) Data***

Distinct changes in geochemistry are also observed from the XRF analysis between the sediment units (Figure 3 and 5), which correspond to very similar depths ( $\pm 0.3$  m) where physical property changes are noted. The first order observations are of: i) a step in Fe/K, Ca/Fe and Ca/Sr elemental ratios between 7.1 and 7.3 m (i.e. straddling Unit 2/3/4 contacts); ii) a switch from more variable (noisy) elemental ratios above 7.1 to 7.3 m (Units 2 and 3), with cm-scale variations in geochemical composition, to less noisy ratios below (Unit 4). Below Unit 4, variations in elemental ratios are also observed, supporting the demarcation of Unit 5. Cross plotting of the elemental ratios (Figure 6) supports the demarcation of the five identified sediment units, as well as illustrating the range in variability between each unit (e.g. large spread of values in Unit 2, compared to Unit 4).



### **Grain Size Distribution**

Figure 7 summarizes grain size distribution data for core section 64PE391-04-D (6.5 to 7.7 m depth), which include sediments from Unit 2, 3 and 4. The data illustrate the change in composition at around 7.3 m depth. Unit 4 (below 7.3 m depth) is characterized by a higher silt content, in comparison to overlying sediments. Unit 3 is recognized as a sandy silt layer, and the sampled sediments of Unit 2 show a switch from sandy silt to clayey silt, which support the distinct changes in lithology seen in the visual core log.

### **Geotechnical Data**

A distinct change in water content can be observed, which increases from around 30 % to over 60 % at 7.3 m depth (i.e. at the contact between Unit 3 and 4; Figure 3). Unit 1 has a slightly higher water content than Unit 3 (more or less constant 30 %). Unit 4 and 5 are characterized by decreasing water content. A distinct change in the undrained shear strength is not observed, although the scatter is greater in the upper part of the core (Unit 2 and 3). Individual outliers ( $> 100$  kPa) are related to drop stones or mud clasts.

A summary of the key sample parameters and test results of the direct shear and oedometer tests are given in Table 3. The peak drained shear strength of Unit 3 and 4 are shown in Figure 3 (indicated by red crosses). It can be seen that Unit 3 encompasses a higher peak shear strength (173 kPa) than Unit 4 (109 kPa). Typical porosity ( $n$ ) versus applied normal stress ( $\sigma_n$ ) is shown in Figure 8. It is apparent that porosity decreases with increasing normal stress and increases slightly during the rebound phase. Unit 3 has a lower initial porosity, and higher permeability ( $k$ ) and compressibility ( $c_v$ ) than Unit 4.

### **Discussion**

The recovered slope sediment obtained from core 64PE391-04 is characterized by a distinct step change in both physical and geochemical properties between around 7.1 and 7.3 m depth, as well as a distinct high-density contrast at that depth which was recorded by X-Ray imaging (Figure 3 and 4). These transitions are related to an abrupt change in lithology from a thick relatively homogeneous clayey silt, silty clay unit (Unit 4; Figure 3 and 5) to an overlying 25 cm-thick sandy silt layer (Unit 3; Figure 3 and 5). The depth of this distinct change matches well with the seismostratigraphic horizon that is equivalent to the main failure plane outlined in the deep-tow boomer reflection seismic data (assuming a seismic velocity of 1600 m/s; Wilson et al., 2004), which is supported by the available MSCL data.

The sediment above this distinct interface is characterized by slightly higher P-wave velocities and gamma-ray densities, as well as a lower fractional porosity than would be expected for continental slope sediments (Figure 3; Hamilton, 1970). Small cracks were recorded by X-Ray imaging, but are limited to parts of Unit 2 (Figure 4). These observations could be related to a slight compaction of the sediment, e.g. due to compression by the partially confined landslide debris above the sediment ramp (2C; e.g. Frey-Martínez et al., 2006; Principaud et al., 2015; Brooks et al., 2018), or to the around 10 m missing sediment sequence at the 64PE391-04 core location (Figure 2), whose removal could have disturbed the slope sediments. The potential deformation, however, is not resolved in the seismic data, and the distinct change at around 7.1 to 7.3 m depth is not limited to the physical properties, but is also noted in the geochemical properties. We therefore infer that although the sediment might have been slightly deformed, it probably did not move (no sliding motion) and the stratigraphy was not altered.

### ***Lithological contrasts appear to play a key role in dictating the location of the failure plane***

Wilson et al. (2004) previously suggested that the AFEN Slide could have initiated along a sandy contouritic layer embedded within the slope stratigraphy, but were unable to sample

deep enough to prove its occurrence. Our deeper core now shows that this hypothesis may be plausible, given the presence of Unit 3. Although this unit was not identified as a contourite in the seismic data (Figure 2C, Wilson et al., 2004), we interpret it as a sheeted sandy contourite drift. This assumption is considered reasonable as the vertical resolution of the seismic data (0.5 m; Wilson et al., 2005) might be too low to register this 25 cm-thick layer. Furthermore, we also show that there is much greater lithological heterogeneity (based on physical properties and geochemistry) within these sheeted drifts than has been previously documented, aside from simply variations in grain size. Without detailed geochemical and physical properties data, this abrupt lithological change would not have been identified.

Abrupt lithological changes (such as between Unit 3 and 4) may instead play a key role in defining the location of the failure plane. Unfortunately, the vertical resolution of the existing seismic data does not enable us to categorically determine whether the failure plane should correspond to the Unit 3/4 or Unit 2/3 contact. Although varying the assumed seismic velocity within reasonable ranges for sediments only results in a vertical offset of 0.5 m, the failure plane falls within the depth window that includes the interfaces between Units 2/3 and Unit 3/4 (Figure 4). Wilson et al. (2004) implicated sandy contouritic sediments as potential “weak layers” (i.e. Unit 2/3 scenario), because of their potential to host excess pore pressures, when bound by an overlying lower permeability unit. This is a reasonable suggestion; however, the fractional porosity data indicate that the sand-rich Unit 3 instead features slightly lower porosity than the overlying sediments, while the underlying mud-rich sediments (Unit 4) have an even higher porosity. This observation is supported by water content data, which show the highest values in the mud-rich Unit 4 and abruptly decreases at the interface to Unit 3. Oedometer tests carried out on undisturbed samples from Unit 3 and 4 reveal a higher initial porosity and lower compressibility of Unit 4. This relationship is in contrast to an established empirical relationship between coarser grain size and greater porosity (or larger pore size; Ren and Santamarina, 2018). This apparent contradiction is explained by the presence of detrital clay that fills in pore spaces between sand grains (Unit 3); whereas the relatively open structure of the underlying muddier deposits (Unit 4) explains their higher relative porosity (Marion et al., 1992; Revil and Cathles III, 1999). In contrast to porosity, however, permeability is found to be higher in the sand-rich sediments (Unit 3; Table 3). Considering the higher permeability and compressibility of Unit 3, it is possible for excess pore pressure to accumulate within the sandy contouritic sediments (e.g. during an earthquake). Although this observation would support the ‘weak layer’ hypothesis, it has to be noted that the water content is actually higher in Unit 4 and abruptly drops at the interface to Unit 3, instead of increasing within the layer.

Another noticeable observation is the difference in shear strength between Unit 3 and 4. Both drained and undrained shear strength are lower in the mud-rich Unit 4, which can be related to the higher water content and to the lack of sandy material within the unit. Taking all these observations into account, we suggest that it is possible that a failure plane could generate at an interface where sand overlies finer grained cohesive sediments. The high water content and lower shear strength of the fine-grained material could allow the overlying sediment to slide on top of it. We are unable to be more absolute on the failure depth, but we have demonstrated that variability in sheeted drifts can also include abrupt whole-scale changes in sediment properties, as well as the presence of thin coarser units, which have traditionally been invoked to explain bedding parallel failures in contourite sheeted drifts (Laberg and Camerlenghi, 2008). Such variability may not necessarily be expected based on the available seismic data.

### ***Climate change is a likely control on creating failure-prone lithological contrasts***

Down-core changes in Ca/Sr ratios have been successfully related to variations in sea level and water temperature (through integration with oxygen isotope curves and biostratigraphy), wherein high Ca/Sr ratios are indicative of ice-rafted debris and changes from colder to

warmer conditions (e.g. Smith et al., 1979; Thomson et al., 2004; Hodell et al., 2008). High Fe/K ratios and low Ca/Fe on the other hand have been related to colder periods (Kuijpers et al., 2003; Perez et al., 2016). The increased Ca/Sr ratio above 7.6 m depth could therefore indicate a stronger meltwater flux, carrying ice-rafted debris into the channel, while the changes in Fe/K and Ca/Fe ratios at 7.1 to 7.3 m are also interpreted to indicate a switch from cold conditions (Unit 4) to warmer conditions (Unit 2/3). This switch was coincident with a transition from finer grained, stable sedimentation to a more variable regime with pulsed influxes of coarser material. Given the existing knowledge about the timing of the AFEN slides (Unit 1 should postdate 2.8 to 5.8 ka BP, while the pre-failure sediments must be older than 16 ka BP; Wilson et al., 2004) this transition fits within a time window that includes the switch from the Last Glacial Maximum (18 ka BP) to post-glacial conditions. Glacial conditions would have seen sediment largely locked up in ice sheets, while the melt-out during the immediate postglacial window involved pulses of fine and coarser-grained sediment. The nearby Faroe-Shetland Channel is the main oceanic gateway between the North Atlantic and the Norwegian Sea (Broecker and Denton, 1990; Rahmstorf, 2002); where a direct relation exists between ocean circulation and climate. Rapid changes in the exchange of water masses between the northeast Atlantic and the Norwegian Sea occurred following the last glacial maximum at 18 ka BP (Rasmussen et al., 2002), which would have compounded the abruptness of a switch in sediment transfer. We therefore suggest that the abrupt change in physical properties and geochemistry may relate to this climatic transition.

Previous studies have investigated the role of climate change on submarine landslides, primarily focusing on their timing. A number of early studies suggested that submarine landslides, particularly in higher latitudes, may be more likely during sea level low-stands. Recent work, however, has suggested that there is no clear statistical relationship or at least that there are too few observations to be confident (e.g. Maslin et al., 2004; Brothers et al., 2013; Urlaub et al., 2013, 2014; Pope et al., 2015). Indeed, recent work has shown that such margins may feature many more late Holocene submarine landslides than previously thought (Normandeau et al., 2019). Proving a clear link between submarine landslides and sea level or climate change is most likely complicated by a range of factors, including time lags in offshore sediment transport, residence times of excess pore pressures following periods of rapid sediment accumulation, local sea level changes (e.g. isostatic rebound following glaciations) and other factors (Masson et al., 2006; Urgeles and Camerlenghi, 2013; Talling et al., 2014). Whether climate change has played any role in the timing of the slope failures at AFEN remains unclear; however, it may have played a key role in one aspect: the location of the failure plane. Our data indicate that the slope failure most likely initiated along a distinct lithological interface that is interpreted to relate to a switch in depositional regime: from cold and uniform to warm and variable depositional conditions. The close connection between thermohaline circulation, sea level and temperature, and sediment supply in this region may explain why the switch in deposition was so rapid.

### ***Broader implications for slope instability in contourites at climatically-influenced ocean gateways***

The origin of distinct lithological interfaces may result in a variety of ways, and may be very common in contouritic sediments near ocean gateways where climatic changes may affect bottom current intensity (and thus controls the grain-size that is transported; Faugères and Mulder et al., 2011), as well as the type of sediment that is distributed by the bottom currents (e.g. terrestrial and biogenic fluxes may vary during different climatic windows; Faugères et al., 1993; Maldonado et al., 2005). Such effects can be felt at a variety of latitudes, ranging from tropical to polar settings (e.g. Kuijpers et al., 2001; Principaud et al., 2015; Elger et al., 2017). In such settings climate may play a key role in dictating the location of potential failure planes. While many previous studies have invoked dominantly geometric controls on slope failure in contourite drifts, our study contributes to a growing literature base that indicates that lithological interfaces may explain the strong affinity of contourite deposits to slope

instability. We posit that in low-angle, sheeted contourite drifts, such as AFEN, it is such material interfaces that are most important for preconditioning slopes to failure.

## Conclusion

The integration of physical properties and geochemical core-log data, grain size distribution, and geotechnical data indicates that the AFEN Slide initiated along a distinct lithological interface within the slope stratigraphy, which matches the depth of the failure plane obtained from seismic data. This lithological interface correlates with the base of a 25 cm sandy contourite layer, overlying a thick, relatively homogeneous silty clay unit. Based on this high-resolution multi-proxy analysis, it was possible to resolve small-scale material changes within the slope stratigraphy, which cannot be distinguished from seismic data alone (owing to its the limited vertical resolution of 0.5 m). Integrating the core analyses with our knowledge about the current regime prevailing in the Faroe-Shetland Channel for the last 18 ka, it seems that climate change might pre-condition the location of failure initiation. This highlights the fact that in order to understand submarine landslide hazard, it is necessary to include information from all different scales, ranging from the small-scale high-resolution analysis of core material to the understanding of the regional oceanographic setting.

## Acknowledgements

The authors thank the British Geological Survey for the supply of the deep-tow boomer reflection seismic data and previous contributions (in particular David Tapping and David Long). Thanks are also given to the crew of the R.V. Pelagia for their efforts during data collection. We thank BOSCORG and its staff (S. MacLachan, M. Edwards and M. Charidemou) for their services in maintaining the cores and assisting with some of the analytical techniques, and Achim Kopf for letting us use his geotechnical laboratory to carry out the shear tests. We acknowledge the constructive reviews by A. Cattaneo and U. Nicholson, and editor J. Mountjoy. Credits for the bathymetric metadata is given to ESRI, Garmin, GEBCO, NOAA NGDC, and other contributors.

## Funding

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 721403. We acknowledge research funding from the UK National Environmental Research Council (NE/K0008X/1) for core collection.

## References

- Ai, F., Strasser, M., Preu, B., Hanebuth, T.J.J., Krastel, S. & Kopf, A., 2014. New constraints on oceanographic vs. seismic control on submarine landslides initiation: a geotechnical approach off Uruguay and northern Argentina. *Geo-Marine Letters*, **34**: 399-417, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00367-014-0373-3>
- Andersen, M.S., Nielsen, T., Sørensen, A.B., Boldreel, L.O. & Kuijpers, A., 2000. Cenozoic sediment distribution and tectonic movements in the Faroe region. In: *Global and Planetary Change*, **24**, 239-259, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8181\(00\)00011-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8181(00)00011-4)
- Baeten, N.J., Laberg, J.S. *et al.* 2014. Origin of shallow submarine mass movements and their glide planes – Sedimentological and geotechnical analyses from the continental slope of northern Norway. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, **119**, 2335-2360, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JF003068>
- Baeten, N.J., Laberg, J.S. *et al.* 2013. Morphology and origin of smaller-scale mass movements on the continental slope off northern Norway. *Geomorphology*, **187**, 122-134, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2013.01.008>



- Blindheim, J., 1990. Arctic Intermediate Water in the Norwegian Sea. *Deep-Sea Research*, **37**, 1475-1489.
- Broecker, W.S. & Denton, G.H., 1990. The role of ocean-atmosphere reorganizations in glacial cycles. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, **9**, 305-341.
- Bonnin, J., van Raaphorst, W., Brummer, G.-J., van Haren, H. & Malschaert, H., 2002. Intense mid-slope resuspension of particulate matter in the Faeroe-Shetland Channel: short-term deployment of near-bottom sediment traps. *Deep-Sea Research I*, **49**, 1485-1505.
- Brooks, H.L., Hodgson, D.M., Brunt, R.L., Peakall, J. & Flint, S.S., 2018. Exhumed lateral margins and increasing flow confinement of a submarine landslide complex. *Sedimentology*, **65**, 1067-1069, <https://doi.org/10.1111/sed.12415>
- Brothers, D.S., Luttrell, K.M. & Chaytor, J.D., 2013. Sea-level-induced seismicity and submarine landslide occurrence. *Geology*, **41**, 979-982, <https://doi.org/10.1130/G34410.1>
- Bryn, P., Berg, K., Forsberg, C.F., Solheim, A. & Kvalstad, T.J., 2005a. Explaining the Storegga Slide. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, **22**, 11-19, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2004.12.003>
- Bryn, P., Berg, K., Stoker, M.S., Hafliðason, H. & Solheim, A., 2005b. Contourites and their relevance for mass wasting along the Mid-Norwegian Margin. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, **22**, 85-96, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2004.10.012>
- BSI, 1990. Methods of test for soils for civil engineering purposes – Part 2: Classification tests, In: *British Standard BS 1377-2*. British Standards Institution, London.
- BSI, 2004. Geotechnical investigation and testing – laboratory testing of soils. Part 6: Fall cone tests, In: *DD CEN ISO/TS 17892-6*. British Standards Institution, London.
- Casas, D., Chiocci, F., Casalbore, D., Ercilla, G. & De Urbina, J.O., 2016. Magnitude-frequency distribution of submarine landslides in the Gioia Basin (southern Tyrrhenian Sea). *Geo-Marine Letters*, **36**, 405-414, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00367-016-0458-2>
- Chaytor, J.D., Uri, S., Solow, A.R. & Andrews, B.D., 2009. Size distribution of submarine landslides along the US Atlantic margin. *Marine Geology*, **264**, 16-27, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2008.08.007>
- Clare, M.A., Chaytor, J. *et al.* 2018. A consistent global approach for the morphometric characterization of subaqueous landslides. In: Lintern, D.G., Mosher, D.C *et al.* (eds) *Subaqueous Mass Movements*. Geological Society, London, Special Publications, **477**, <https://doi.org/10.1144/SP477.15>
- Croudace, I.W., Rindby, A. & Rothwell, R.G., 2006. ITRAX: description and evaluation of a new multi-function X-ray core scanner. In: Rothwell, R.G. (ed) *New Techniques in Sediment Core Analysis*. Geological Society, London, Special Publications, **267**, 51-63.
- Croudace, I.W. & Rothwell, R.G. (eds) 2015. *Micro-XRF Studies of Sediment Cores. Applications of a non-destructive tool for the environmental sciences*. Springer, Dordrecht.
- Dahlgren, K.I.T., Vorren, T.O. & Laberg, J.S., 2002. Late Quaternary glacial development of the mid-Norwegian margin – 65 to 68°N. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, **19**, 1089-1113, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264-8172\(03\)00004-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264-8172(03)00004-7)
- Davies, R.J., Cartwright, J.A., Pike, J. & Line, C., 2001. Early Oligocene initiation of North Atlantic deep water formation. *Nature*, **410**, 917-920.



Dean, K., McLachlan, K. & Chambers, A., 1999. Rifting and the development of the Faeroe-Shetland Basin. In: Fleet, A.J. & Boldy, S.A.R. (eds) *Petroleum Geology of Northwest Europe Proceedings of the 5th Conference*. Geological Society, London, Petroleum Geology Conference series, **5**, 533-544, <https://doi.org/10.1144/0050533>

Deutsches Institut für Normung, 2002. Baugrund, Untersuchung von Bodenproben — Bestimmung der Scherfestigkeit, In: *DIN-Norm 18137- 3*, Beuth, Berlin.

Elger, J., Berndt, C., Krastel, S., Piper, D.J.W., Gross, F. & Geissler, W.H., 2017. Chronology of the Fram Slide Complex offshore NW Svalbard and its implications for local and regional slope stability. *Marine Geology*, **393**, 141-155, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2016.11.003>

Ercilla, G., Juan, C. *et al.* 2016. Significance of bottom currents in deep-sea morphodynamics: An example from the Alboran Sea. *Marine Geology*, **378**, 157-170, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2015.09.007>

Evans, D., Harrison, Z. *et al.* 2005. Paleoslides and other mass failures of Pliocene to Pleistocene age along the Atlantic continental margin of NW Europe. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, **22**, 1131-1148, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2005.01.010>

Faugères, J.-C., Stow, D.A.V., Imbert, P. & Viana, A., 1999. Seismic features diagnostic of contourite drifts. *Marine Geology*, **162**, 1-38, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-3227\(99\)00068-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-3227(99)00068-8)

Faugères, J.-C. & Mulder, T., 2011. Contour Currents and Contourite Drifts. *Developments in Sedimentology*, **63**, 149-214, <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-53000-4.00003-2>

Faugères, J.-C., Mézerais, M.L. & Stow, D.A.V., 1993. Contourite drift types and their distribution in the North and South Atlantic Ocean basins. *Sedimentary Geology*, **82**, 189-203.

Faugères, J.-C. & Stow, D.A.V., 2008. Contourite Drifts: Nature, Evolution and Controls. *Developments in Sedimentology*, **60**, 259-288, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0070-4571\(08\)10014-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0070-4571(08)10014-0)

Faugères, J.-C., Stow, D.A.V., Imbert, P. & Viana, A., 1999. Seismic features diagnostic of contourite drifts. *Marine Geology*, **162**, 1-38.

Fisher, R.A., 1926. The arrangement of field experiments. *Journal of the Ministry of Agriculture of Great Britain*, **33**, 503-513.

Frey-Martínez, J., Cartwright, J. & James, D., 2006. Frontally confined versus frontally emergent submarine landslides: A 3D seismic characterisation. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, **23**, 585-604, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2006.04.002>

Georgiopoulou, A., Krastel, S., Finch, N., Zehn, K., McCarron, S., Huvenne, V.A.I., Haughton, P.D.W., Shannon, P.M., 2019. On the Timing and Nature of the Multiple Phase of Slope Instability on Eastern Rockall Bank, Northeast Atlantic. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, **20**, 594-613, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GC007674>

Georgiopoulou, A., Shannon, P.M., Sacchetti, F., Haughton, P.D.W. & Benetti, S., 2013. Basement-controlled multiple slope collapses, Rockall Bank Slide Complex, NE Atlantic. *Marine Geology*, **336**, 198-214, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2012.12.003>

Gunn, D.E. & Best, A.I. 1998. A new automated non- destructive system for high resolution multi-sensor core logging of open sediment cores. *Geo-Marine Letters*, **18**, 70-77.

- Haflidason, H., Lien, R., Sejrup, H.P., Forsberg, C.F. & Bryn, P., 2005. The dating and morphometry of the Storegga Slide. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, **22**, 123-136, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2004.10.008>
- Hamilton, E.L., 1970. Sound Velocity and Related Properties of Marine Sediments, North Pacific. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **75**, 4423-4446.
- Henkel, S., Strasser, M. *et al.* 2011. An interdisciplinary investigation of a recent submarine mass transport deposit at the continental margin off Uruguay. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, **12**, Q08009, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GC003669>
- Hepp, D.A., Mörz, T. & Grützner, J., 2006. Pliocene glacial cyclicity in a deep-sea sediment drift (Antarctic Peninsula Pacific Margin). *Paleogeography, Paleoclimatology, Paleoecology*, **231**, 181-198, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2005.07.030>
- Hernández-Molina, F.J., Paterlini, M., Violante, R., Marshall, P., de Isasi, M., Somoza, L. & Rebesco, M., 2009. Contourite depositional system on the Argentine Slope: An exceptional record of the influence of Antarctic water masses. *Geology*, **37**, 507-510, <https://doi.org/10.1130/G25578A.1>
- Hernández-Molina, F.J., Soto, M. *et al.* 2016. A contourite depositional system along the Uruguayan continental margin: Sedimentary, oceanographic and paleoceanographic implications. *Marine Geology*, **378**, 333-349, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2015.10.008>
- Hodell, D.A., Channell, J.E.T., Curtis, J.H., Romero, O.E. & Röhl, U., 2008. Onset of "Hudson Strait" Heinrich events in the eastern North Atlantic at the end of the middle Pleistocene transition (~640 ka)? *Paleoceanography*, **23**, PA4218, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008PA001591>
- Hohbein, M. & Cartwright, J., 2006. 3D seismic analysis of the West Shetland Drift system: Implications for Late Neogene paleoceanography of the NE Atlantic. *Marine Geology*, **230**, 1-20, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2006.03.009>
- Hübscher, C., Betzler, C. & Reiche, S., 2016. Seismo-stratigraphic evidences of deep base level control on middle to late Pleistocene drift evolution and mass wasting along southern Levant continental slope (Eastern Mediterranean). *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, **77**, 526-534, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2016.07.008>
- Iwai, M., Acton, G.D., Lazarus, D., Osterman, L.E. & Williams, T., 2002. Magnetobiochronologic synthesis of ODP Leg 178 rise sediments from the Pacific sector of the Southern Ocean: Sites 1095, 1096, and 1101. In: Barker, P.F., Camerlenghi, A., Acton, G.D., & Ramsay, A.T.S. (eds) *Proceedings of Ocean Drilling Program, Scientific Results*, **178**, 1-40.
- Johnson, H., Ritchie, J.D., Hitchen, K., Mcinroy, D.B. & Kimbell, G.S., 2005. Aspects of the Cenozoic deformational history of the Northeast Faroe-Shetland Basin, Wyville-Thomson Ridge and Hatton Bank areas. In: Doré, A.G. & Vining, B.A. (eds) *Petroleum Geology: North-West Europe and Global Perspectives – Proceedings of the 6th Petroleum Geology Conference*, Geological Society, London, Petroleum Geology Conference series, **6**, 993-1007, <https://doi.org/10.1144/0060993>
- Katz, O., Reuven, E. & Aharonov, E., 2015. Submarine landslides and fault scarps along the eastern Mediterranean Israeli continental-slope. *Marine Geology*, **369**, 100-115, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2015.08.006>
- Knutz, P.C. & Cartwright, 2004. 3D anatomy of late Neogene contourite drifts and associated mass flows in the Faroe-Shetland Basin. In: Davies, R.J., Cartwright, J.A., Stewart, S.A.,

Lappin, M., Underhill, J.R. (eds) *3D Seismic Technology: Application to the Exploration of Sedimentary Basins*. Geological Society, London, Memoirs, **29**, 63-71, <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.MEM.2004.029.01.07>

Krastel, S., Wefer, G. *et al.* 2011. Sediment dynamics and geohazards off Uruguay and the de la Plata River region (northern Argentina and Uruguay). *Geo-Marine Letters*, **31**, 271-283, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00367-011-0232-4>

Kuijpers, A., Nielsen, T., Akhmetzhanov, A., de Haas, H., Kenyon, N.H. & van Weering, T.C.E., 2001. Late Quaternary slope instability on the Faeroe margin: mass flow features and timing of events. *Geo-Marine Letters*, **20**, 149-159, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s003670000053>

Kuijpers, A., Troelstra, S.R. *et al.* 2003. Late Quaternary sedimentary processes and ocean circulation changes at the Southeast Greenland margin. *Marine Geology*, **195**, 109-129, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-3227\(02\)00684-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-3227(02)00684-9)

Kvalstad, T.J., Andresen, L., Forsberg, C.F., Berg, K., Bryn, P. & Wangen, M., 2005. The Storegga slide: evolution of triggering sources and slide mechanics. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, **22**, 245-256, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2004.10.019>

L'Heureux, J.-S., Longva, O. *et al.* 2012. Identification of Weak Layers and Their Role for the Stability at Finneidfjord, Northern Norway. In: Yamada, Y., Kawamura, K. *et al.* (eds) *Submarine Mass Movements and Their Consequences: Advances in Natural and Technological Hazard Research*. Springer, Dordrecht, 321-330, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-2162-3\\_29](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-2162-3_29)

Laberg, J.S. & Camerlenghi, A., 2008. The Significance of Contourites for Submarine Slope Stability. *Developments in Sedimentology*, **60**, 537-556. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0070-4571\(08\)10025-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0070-4571(08)10025-5)

Laberg, J.S., Dahlgren, T., Vorren, T.O., Hafliðason, H. & Bryn, P., 2001. Seismic analyses of Cenozoic contourite drift development in the Northern Norwegian Sea. *Marine Geophysical Researches*, **22**, 401-416, <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1016347632294>

Laberg, J.S. & Vorren, T.O., 2000. The Trænadjuped Slide, offshore Norway – morphology, evacuation and triggering mechanisms. *Marine Geology*, **171**, 95-114, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-3227\(00\)00112-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-3227(00)00112-2)

Laberg, J.S., Vorren, T.O. & Mienert, J., 2003. Preconditions leading to the Holocene Trænadjuped Slide offshore Norway. In: Locat, J. & Mienert, J. (eds) *Submarine Mass Movements and Their Consequences: Advances in Natural and Technological Hazards Research*. Springer, Dordrecht, 247-254, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-010-0093-2\\_28](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-010-0093-2_28)

Laberg, J.S., Vorren, T.O., Mienert, J., Evans, D., Lindberg, B., Ottesen, D., Kenyon, N.H. & Henriksen, S., 2002. Late Quaternary paleoenvironment and chronology in the Trænadjuped Slide area offshore Norway. *Marine Geology*, **188**, 35-60, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-3227\(02\)00274-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-3227(02)00274-8)

Lindberg, B., Laberg, J.S. & Vorren, T.O., 2004. The Nyk Slide – morphology, progression, and age of a partly buried submarine slide offshore northern Norway. *Marine Geology*, **213**, 277-289, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2004.10.010>

Long, D., Bulat, J. & Stoker, M.S., 2004. Sea bed morphology of the Faroe-Shetland Channel derived from 3D seismic datasets. In: Davies, R.J., Cartwright, J.A., Stewart, S.A., Lapping, M. & Underhill, J.R. (eds) *3D Seismic Technology: Application to the Exploration of*

*Sedimentary Basins*. Geological Society, London, Memoirs, **29**, 53-61, <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.MEM.2004.029.01.06>

Lüdmann, T., Wiggershaus, S., Betzler, C. & Hübscher, C., 2012. Southwest Mallorca Island: A cool-water carbonate margin dominated by drift deposition associated with giant mass wasting. *Marine Geology*, **307-310**, 73-87, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2011.09.008>

Madhusudhan, B.N., Clare, M.A., Clayton, C.R.I. & Hunt, J.E., 2017. Geotechnical profiling of deep-ocean sediments at the AFEN submarine slide complex. *Quarterly Journal of Engineering Geology and Hydrology*, **50**, 148-157, <https://doi.org/10.1144/qjegh2016-057>

Maldonado, A., Barnolas, A. *et al.* 2005. Miocene to Recent contourite drifts development in the northern Weddell Sea (Antarctica). *Global and Planetary Change*, **45**, 99-129, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2004.09.013>

Marion, D., Nur, A., Yin, H. & Han, D., 1992. Compressional velocity and porosity in sand-clay mixtures. *Geophysics*, **57**, 554-563.

Maslin, M., Owen, M., Day, S. & Long, D., 2004. Linking continental-slope failures and climate change: Testing the clathrate gun hypothesis. *Geology*, **32**, 53-56, <https://doi.org/10.1130/G20114.1>

Masson, D.G., 2001. Sedimentary processes shaping the eastern slope of the Faeroe-Shetland Channel. *Continental Shelf Research*, **21**, 825-857, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0278-4343\(00\)00115-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0278-4343(00)00115-1)

Masson, D.G., Harbitz, C.B., Wynn, R.B., Pedersen, G. & Løvholt, F., 2006. Submarine Landslides: Processes, Triggers and Hazard Prediction. *Philosophical Transactions: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, **354**, 2009-2039, <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2006.1810>

Masson, D.G., Wynn, R.B. & Bett, B.J., 2004. Sedimentary environment of the Faeroe-Shetland and Faeroe Bank Channels, north-east Atlantic, and the use of bedforms as indicators of bottom current velocity in the deep ocean. *Sedimentology*, **51**, 1207-1241, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3091.2004.00668.x>

Mattingsdal, R., Knies, J., Andreassen, K., Fabian, K., Husum, K., Grøsfjeld, K. & De Schepper, S., 2014. A new 6 Myr stratigraphic framework for the Atlantic-Arctic Gateway. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, **92**, 170-178, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2013.08.022>

McCave, I.N. & Tucholke, B.E., 1986. Deep current controlled sedimentation in the western North Atlantic. In: Vogt, P.R. & Tucholke, B.E. (eds.) *The Western North Atlantic Region. Geology of North America*, Volume M, 451-468.

Micallef, A., Berndt, C., Masson, D.G. & Stow, D.A., 2008. Scale invariant characteristics of the Storegga Slide and implications for large-scale submarine mass movements. *Marine Geology*, **247**, 46-60, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2007.08.003>

Minisini, D., Trincardi, F., Asioli, A., Canu, M. & Fogliani, F., 2007. Morphologic variability of exposed mass-transport deposits on the eastern slope of Gela Basin (Sicily channel). *Basin Research*, **19**, 217-240, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2117.2007.00324.x>

Miramontes, E., Cattaneo, A. *et al.* 2016. The Pianosa Contourite Depositional System (Northern Tyrrhenian Sea): Drift morphology and Plio-Quaternary stratigraphic evolution. *Marine Geology*, **378**, 20-42, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2015.11.004>



- Miramontes, E., Garziglia, S., Sultan, N., Jouet, G. & Cattaneo, A., 2018. Morphological control of slope instability in contourites: a geotechnical approach. *Landslides*, **15**, 1085-1095, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10346-018-0956-6>
- Mulder, T., Ducassou, E. *et al.* 2012. New insights into the morphology and sedimentary processes along the western slope of Great Bahama Bank. *Geology*, **40**, 603-606, <https://doi.org/10.1130/G32972.1>
- Nielsen, T., Rasmussen, T.L., Ceramicola, S. & Kuijpers, A., 2007. Quaternary sedimentation, margin architecture and ocean circulation variability around the Faroe Islands, North Atlantic. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, **26**, 1016-1036, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2006.12.005>
- Normandeau, A., Campbell, D.C., Piper, D.J. & Jenner, K.A., 2019. Are submarine landslides an underestimated hazard on the western North Atlantic passive margin?. *Geology*, **47**, 848-852, <https://doi.org/10.1130/G46201.1>
- Perez, L., Garcá-Rodríguez, F. & Hanebuth, T.J.J., 2016. Variability in terrigenous sediment supply offshore of the Río de la Plata (Uruguay) recording the continental climatic history over the past 1200 years. *Climate of the Past*, **12**, 623-634, <https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-12-623-2016>
- Piper, D.J.W., 2005. Late Cenozoic evolution of the continental margin of eastern Canada. *Norwegian Journal of Geology*, **85**, 305-318.
- Pope, E.L., Talling, P.J., Urlaub, M., Hunt, J.E., Clare, M.A. & Challenor, P., 2015. Are large submarine landslides temporally random or do uncertainties in available age constraints make it impossible to tell?. *Marine Geology*, **369**, 19-33, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2015.07.002>
- Powrie, W., 2013. *Soil Mechanics: Concepts and Applications*. 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition, CRC Press, Taylor & Francis, 682.
- Preu, B., Hernández-Molina, F.J. *et al.* 2013. Morphosedimentary and hydrographic features of the northern Argentine margin: The interplay between erosive, depositional and gravitational processes and its conceptual implications. *Deep-Sea Research I*, **75**, 157-174, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2012.12.013>
- Prieto, M.I., Moscardelli, L. & Wood, L.J., 2016. Exploring the influence of deepwater currents as potential triggers for slope instability. In: Lamarche, G., Mountjoy, J. *et al.* (eds) *Submarine Mass Movements and their Consequences, Advances in Natural and Technological Hazards Research*. Springer, Cham, 331-338, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-20979-1\\_33](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-20979-1_33)
- Principaud, M., Mulder, T., Gillet, H. & Borgomano, J., 2015. Large-scale carbonate submarine mass-wasting along the northwestern slope of the Great Bank (Bahamas): Morphology, architecture, and mechanisms. *Sedimentary Geology*, **317**, 27-42, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sedgeo.2014.10.008>
- Rahmstorf, S., 2002. Ocean circulation and climate during the last 120,000 years. *Nature*, **419**, 207-214.
- Rashid, H., MacKillop, K., Sherwin, J., Piper, D.J.W., Marche, B. & Vermooten, M., 2017. Slope instability on a shallow contourite-dominated continental margin, southeastern Grand Banks, eastern Canada. *Marine Geology*, **393**, 203-215, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2017.01.001>



Rasmussen, T.L., Bäckström, D. *et al.* 2002. The Faroe-Shetland Gateway: Late Quaternary water mass exchange between the Nordic seas and the northeastern Atlantic. *Marine Geology*, **188**, 165-192, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-3227\(02\)00280-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-3227(02)00280-3)

Rasmussen, T.L., Thomsen, E. & van Weering, T.C.E., 1998. Cyclic sedimentation of the Faeroe Drift 53-10 ka BP related to climatic variations. In: Stoker, M.S., Evans, D. & Cramp, A. (eds) *Geological Processes on Continental Margins: Sedimentation, Mass-Wasting and Stability*. Geological Society, London, Special Publications, **129**, 255-267.

Rasmussen, T.L., Thomsen, E., van Weering, T.C.E. & Labeyrie, L., 1996. Rapid changes in surface and deep water conditions at the Faeroe Margin during the last 58,000 years. *Paleoceanography*, **11**, 757-771.

Rebesco, M., Hernández-Molina, F.J., Van Rooij, D. & Wåhlin, A., 2014. Contourites and associated sediments controlled by deep-water circulation processes: State-of-the-art and future considerations. *Marine Geology*, **352**, 111-154, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2014.03.011>

Rebesco, M. & Stow, D., 2001. Seismic expression of contourites and related deposits: a preface. *Marine Geophysical Researches*, **22**, 303-308, <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1016316913639>

Ren, X.W. & Santamarina, J.C., 2018. The hydraulic conductivity of sediments : A pore size perspective. *Engineering Geology*, **233**, 48-54, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enggeo.2017.11.022>

Revil, A. & Cathles III, L.M., 1999. Permeability of shaly sands. *Water Resource Research*, **35**, 651-662, <https://doi.org/10.1029/98WR02700>

Ritchie, J.D., Johnson, H. & Kimbell, G.S., 2003. The nature and age of Cenozoic contractional deformation within the NE Faroe-Shetland Basin. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, **20**, 399-409, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264-8172\(03\)00075-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264-8172(03)00075-8)

Ritchie, J.D., Johnson, H., Quinn, M.F. & Gatliff, R.W., 2008. The effects of Cenozoic compression within the Faroe-Shetland Basin and adjacent areas. In: Johnson, H., Doré, A.G., Gatliff, R.W., Holdsworth, R., Lundin, E.R. & Ritchie, J.D. (eds) *The Nature and Origin of Compression in Passive Margin*. Geological Society, London, Special Publications, **306**, 121-136, <https://doi.org/10.1144/SP306.5>

Roberts, D.G., Thompson, M., Mitchener, B., Hossack, J., Carmichael, S. & Bjørnseth, H.-M., 1999. Palaeozoic to Tertiary rift and basin dynamics: mid-Norway to the Bay of Biscay – a new context for hydrocarbon prospectivity in the deep water frontier. In: Fleet, A.J. & Boldy, S.A.R. (eds) *Petroleum Geology of Northwest Europe: Proceedings of the 5th Conference*. Geological Society, London, Petroleum Geology Conference series, **5**, 7-40, <https://doi.org/10.1144/0050007>

Röhl, U. & Abrams, L.J., 2000. High-resolution, downhole and non-destructive core measurements from Sites 999 and 1001 in the Caribbean Sea: application to the Late Paleocene Thermal Maximum. In: Leckie, R.M., Sigurdsson, H., Acton, G.D. & Draper, G. (eds) *Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling Program, Scientific Results*, **165**, 191–203.

Rothwell, R.G. & Rack, F.R., 2006. New techniques in sediment core analysis: an introduction. In: Rothwell, R.G. (ed), *New Techniques in Sediment Core Analysis*. Geological Society, London, Special Publications, **267**, 1–29, <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.2006.267.01.01>

Rumph, B., Reaves, C.M., Orange, V.G. & Robinson, D.L., 1993. Structuring and transfer zones in the Faeroe Basin in a regional tectonic context. In: Parker, J.R. (ed) *Petroleum*

*Geology of Northwest Europe: Proceedings of the 4th Conference*. Geological Society, London, Petroleum Geology Conference series, **4**, 999-1009, <https://doi.org/10.1144/0040999>

Saunders, P.M., 1990. Cold outflow from the Faroe Bank Channel. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, **20**, 29-43.

Sheskin, D.J., 2011. *Handbook of parametric and nonparametric statistical procedures*. 5<sup>th</sup> Edition, CRC Press, Taylor & Francis, 1886.

Shipp, R.C., Weimer, P. & Posamentier, H.W. (eds) 2011. *Mass-transport deposits in deepwater settings*. SEPM Special Publication 96, SEPM Society for Sedimentary Geology, <https://doi.org/10.2110/sepm.sp.096>.

Smith, S.V., Buddemeier, R.W., Redalje, R.C. & Houck, J.E., 1979. Strontium-Calcium Thermometry in Coral Skeletons. *Science*, **207**, 404-407.

Smallwood, J.R. & Gill, C.E., 2002. The rise and fall of the Faroe-Shetland Basin: evidence from seismic mapping of the Balder Formation. *Journal of the Geological Society, London*, **159**, 627-630.

Solheim, A., Berg, K., Forsberg, C.F. & Bryn, P., 2005. The Storegga Slide complex: repetitive large scale sliding with similar cause and development. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, **22**, 97-107, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2004.10.013>

Stoker, M.S., Akhurst, M.C., Howe, J.A. & Stow, D.A.V., 1998. Sediment drifts and contourites on the continental margin off northwest Britain. *Sedimentary Geology*, **15**, 33-51.

Stoker, M.S., Hout, R.J., Nielsen, T., Hjelstuen, B.O., Laberg, J.S., Shannon, P.M., Praeg, D., Mathiesen, A., van Weering T.C.E. & McDonnell, 2005. Sedimentary and oceanographic responses to early Neogene compression on the NW European margin. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, **22**, 1031-1044, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2005.01.009>

Stoker, M.S., Nielsen, T., van Weering, T.C.E. & Kuijpers, A., 2002. Towards an understanding of the Neogene tectonostratigraphic framework of the NE Atlantic margin between Ireland and the Faroe Islands. *Marine Geology*, **188**, 233-248, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-3227\(02\)00282-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-3227(02)00282-7)

Stow, D.A.V., Faugères, J.C., Howe, J.A., Pudsey, C.J. & Viana, A.R., 2002. Bottom currents, contourites and deep-sea sediment drifts: current state-of-the-art. In: Stow, D.A.V., Pudsey, C.J., Howe, J.A., Faugères, J.C. & Viana, A.R. (eds) *Deep-Water Contourite Systems: Modern Drifts and Ancient Series, Seismic and Sedimentary Characteristics*. Geological Society, London, Memoirs, **22**, 7-20, <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.MEM.2002.022.01.02>

Stow, D.A.V. & Holbrook, J.A., 1984. Hatton Drift contourites, northeast Atlantic, Deep Sea Drilling Project Leg 81. *Initial Reports Deep-Sea Drilling Project*, **81**, 695-699, doi:10.2973/dsdp.proc.81.125.1984

Talling, P.J., Clare, M.L., Urlaub, M., Pope, E., Hunt, J.E. & Watt, S.F., 2014. Large submarine landslides on continental slopes: geohazards, methane release, and climate change. *Oceanography*, **27**, 32-45.

Terzaghi, K., 1925. *Erdbaumechanik auf Bodenphysikalischer Grundlage*, F. Deuticke, Leipzig u. Wien

Thomson, J., Crudeli, D., De Lange, G., Slomp, C.P., Erba, E., Corselli, C. & Calvert, S.E., 2004. Florisphaera profunda and the origin and diagenesis of carbonate phases in eastern

Mediterranean sapropel units. *Paleoceanography*, **19**, PA3003, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2003PA000976>

Tournadour, E., Mulder, T., Borgomano, J., Hanquiez, V., Ducassou, E. & Gillet, H., 2015. Origin and architecture of a Mass Transport Complex on the northwest slope of Little Bahama Bank (Bahamas): Relations between off-bank transport, bottom current sedimentation and submarine landslides. *Sedimentary Geology*, **317**, 9-26, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sedgeo.2014.10.003>

Turner, J.D. & Scrutton, R.A., 1993. Subsidence patterns in western margin basins: evidence from the Faeroe-Shetland Basin. In: Parker, J.R. (ed) *Petroleum Geology of Northwest Europe: Proceedings of the 4th Conference*. Geological Society, London, Petroleum Geology Conference series, **4**, 975-983, <https://doi.org/10.1144/0040975>

Turrell, W.R., Slessor, G., Adams, R.D., Payne, R. & Gillibrand, P.A., 1999. Decadal variability in the composition of Faroe Shetland Channel bottom water. *Deep-Sea Research I*, **46**, 1-25.

Urgeles, R. & Camerlenghi, A., 2013. Submarine landslides of the Mediterranean Sea: Trigger mechanisms, dynamics, and frequency-magnitude distribution. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, **118**, 2600-2618, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JF002720>

Urlaub, M., Talling, P.J. & Masson, D.G., 2013. Timing and frequency of large submarine landslides: implications for understanding triggers and future geohazard. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, **72**, 63-82, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2013.04.020>

Urlaub, M., Talling, P. & Clare, M., 2014. Sea-level-induced seismicity and submarine landslide occurrence: Comment. *Geology*, **42**, 337.

Van Raaphorst, W., Malschaert, H., van Haren, H., Boer, W. & Brummer, G.-J., 2001. Cross-slope zonation of erosion and deposition in the Faerow-Shetland Channel, North Atlantic Ocean. *Deep-Sea Research I*, **48**, 567-591, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0637\(00\)00052-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0637(00)00052-2)

Van Weering, T.C.E., Nielsen, T., Kenyon, N.H., Akentieva, K. & Kuijpers, A.H., 1998. Sediments and sedimentation at the NE Faeroe continental margin; contourites and large-scale sliding. *Marine Geology*, **152**, 159-176, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-3227\(98\)00069-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-3227(98)00069-3)

Van Weering, Tj.C.E. & de Rijk, S., 1991. Sedimentation and climate-induced sediments on Feni Ridge, Northeast Atlantic Ocean. *Marine Geology*, **101**, 49-69.

Vanneste, M., Mienert, J. & Bünz, S., 2006. The Hinlopen Slide: A giant, submarine slope failure on the northern Svalbard margin, Arctic Ocean. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, **245**, 373-388, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2006.02.045>

Vardy, M.E., L'Heureux, J.-S., Vanneste, M., Longva, O., Steiner, A., Forsberg, C.F., Haflidason, H. & Brendryen, J., 2012. Multidisciplinary investigation of a shallow near-shore landslides, Finneidfjord, Norway. *Near Surface Geophysics*, **10**, 267-277, <https://doi.org/10.3997/1873-2012022>

Verdicchio, G. & Trincardi, F., 2008. Mediterranean shelf-edge muddy contourites: examples from the Gela and South Adriatic basins. *Geo-Marine Letters*, **28**, 137-151, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00367-007-0096-9>

Volpi, V., Amblas, D., Camerlenghi, A., Canals, M., Rebesco, M. & Urgeles, R., 2011. Late Neogene to recent seafloor instability on the deep pacific margin of the Antarctic Peninsula.

In: Shipp, R.C., Weimer, P. & Posamentier, H.W. (eds) *Mass-Transport Deposits in Deepwater Settings*. SEPM Special Publication 96, SEPM Society of Sedimentary Geology, 161-177, <https://doi.org/10.2110/sepmssp.096.161>

Volpi, V., Camerlenghi, A., Hillenbrand, C.D., Rebesco, M. & Ivaldi, R., 2003. Effects of biogenic silica on sediment compaction and slope stability on the Pacific margin of the Antarctic Peninsula. *Basin Research*, **15**, 339-363, <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2117.2003.00210.x>

Weltje, G.J. & Tjallingii, R., 2008. Calibration of XRF core scanners for quantitative geochemical logging of sediment cores: Theory and application. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, **274**, 423-438, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2008.07.054>

Wilson, C.K., Long, D. & Bulat, J., 2003. The Afen Slide – A multistage slope failure in the Faroe-Shetland Channel. In: Locat, J. & Mienert, J. (eds) *Submarine Mass Movements and Their Consequences, Advances in Natural and Technological Hazards Research*. Springer, Dordrecht, 317-324.

Wilson, C.K., Long, D. & Bulat, J., 2004. The morphology, setting and processes of the Afen Slide. *Marine Geology*, **213**, 149-167, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2004.10.005>

Wilson, C.K. Bulat, J. & Long, D., 2005. The Afen Slide. *British Geological Survey Commissioned Report*, **CR/05/003**, 96.

Winkelmann, D., Geissler, W., Schneider, J. & Stein, R., 2008. Dynamics and timing of the Hinlopen/Yermak Megaslides north of Spitsbergen, Arctic Ocean. *Marine Geology*, **250**, 34-50, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2007.11.013>

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

## Table Captions

**Table 1.** Examples of submarine landslides in contourites. Slide volume, seabed gradient and sediment accumulation rate are given where available. Main controls of slope failure are listed where they are known or discussed in the literature.

**Table 2.** Summary of sediment core's sedimentological, and geophysical and geochemical characteristics.

**Table 3.** Key sample parameters and results from direct shear and oedometer tests.

## Figure Captions

**Fig. 1.** Key characteristics of contourites that favour the formation of submarine landslides. Morphological controls: A – over-steepening, B – erosion, C – sediment loading; stratigraphic controls: i – laterally extensive sensitive clay layers that are prone to sudden strength loss, possible shear strength depth profiles are shown as black; dark grey, dashed and light grey, dotted lines; ii – thick accumulation of sandy layers which can accommodate excess pore pressure due to high sedimentation rates; iii – distinct lithological and/or geotechnical interfaces. Contourite depositional system adopted from Hernández-Molina et al. (2008).

**Fig. 2.** (A) Schematic diagram of current regime in and around the Faroe-Shetland Channel. Arrows indicate the five main water masses: red 1 – North Atlantic Water; red 2 – Modified North Atlantic Water; grey 3 – Arctic Intermediate Water; blue 4 – Norwegian Sea Arctic Intermediate Water; blue 5 – Faroe-Shetland Channel Bottom Water (after Turrell et al., 1999). Study area is outlined with a black rectangle. (B) Outline of the AFEN Slide, showing piston core 64PE391-01 (61°15'40.679"N, 02°23'42.899"W; Madhusudhan et al., 2017) and Core 64PE391-04 (61°16'17.651"N, 02°24'21.959"W) as red circles. Black line illustrates the seismic line shown in C. Inset image shows the four stages of the failure as interpreted by Wilson et al. (2004). Modified from Madhusudhan et al. (2017) (C) Seismic line across the AFEN Slide showing piston core 01 and 04. Inset image illustrates the distribution of sheeted contourite drifts in the area (after Wilson et al., 2004).

**Fig. 3.** Summary of sediment core analyses (64PE391-04), including visual sedimentary, physical properties (multi-sensor core logging) and geochemical (ITRAX XRF) core log data, and geotechnical data (water content, drained and undrained shear strength). Unit 1 to 5 are outlined.

**Fig 4.** Inferred location of the main failure plane based on down-core logging and deep-tow boomer reflection seismic data. Unit 1 to 5 are outlined. Vertical error in failure plane delineation, resulting from the vertical resolution of the seismic data is indicated by grey lines (+/- 50 cm from the inferred failure plane). Core images and x-radiographs from the inferred failure plane, and cracks in Unit 2 are also shown.

**Fig. 5.** Box-Whisker plots showing the variation in element ratios Ca/Sr (A), Ca/Fe (B) and Fe/K (C), and physical properties (D to F) between Units 1 to 5. The lines of the box indicate the upper and lower quartiles and the median, lines extending parallel from the boxes indicate the maximum and minimum values, and the cross illustrates the mean value.

**Fig. 6.** ITRAX XRF composition of individual subunits: red crosses – Unit 1, orange crosses – Unit 2, yellow circles – Unit 3, light blue stars – Unit 4, dark blue triangles – Unit 5.

**Fig. 7.** Grain size distribution data illustrated as percentage per bin.

**Fig. 8.** Porosity ( $n$ ) versus applied normal stress ( $\sigma_n$ ) curves from one-dimensional consolidation tests.



Slide name	Location	Setting	Slide volume	Seabed gradient	Sediment accumulation rate	Drift type	Main control	References
Hinlopen-Yermak Slide	Northern Svalbard margin, Arctic Ocean	Northern high-latitudes	1200 to 1350 km <sup>3</sup>	<0.5°		?	Lithological and geotechnical contrasts	Vanneste et al., 2006; Winkelmann et al., 2008
Fram Slide Complex	Offshore northwest Svalbard, Arctic Ocean	Northern high-latitudes	~1470 km <sup>3</sup> (17 failures)	~1.5 to 4.5°	3 to 19 cm/ka	Plastered drift	Toe erosion, morphology	Mattingsdal et al., 2014; Elger et al., 2017
-	Lofoten Islands, offshore Norway, Norwegian Sea	Northern high-latitudes	<1 to 8.7 km <sup>3</sup> (individual landslides)	4 to 1°	Up to 4 m/ka	Mounded, elongated drift (Lofoten drift)	Under-cutting	Laberg et al., 2001; Baeten et al., 2013, 2014
Trænadjupe Slide	Offshore Norway, Norwegian Sea	Northern high-latitudes	~900 km <sup>3</sup>	2.3 to 0.6°	Up to 65 m/ka	Mounded, elongated drift (Nyk drift)	Weak layer	Laberg and Vorren, 2000; Laberg et al., 2001, 2002, 2003
Nyk Slide	Offshore Norway, Norwegian Sea	Northern high-latitudes			Up to 1.2 m/ka	Mounded, elongated drift (Nyk drift)	Weak layer	Laberg et al., 2001, 2002; Lindberg et al., 2004
Sklinnadjupe Slide	Offshore Norway, Norwegian Sea	Northern high-latitudes			Up to 0.5 m/ka	Infilling drift (Sklinnadjupe drift)	Weak layer (?)	Laberg et al., 2001; Dahlgren et al., 2002
Storegga Slide	Offshore Norway, Norwegian Sea	Northern high-latitudes	2400 to 3200 km <sup>3</sup>	0.5 to 1.0°		Mounded, elongated drift	Sensitive clay layer	Bryn et al., 2005a,b; Hafliðason et al., 2005; Kvalstad et al.,

Tampen Slide	Offshore Norway, Norwegian Sea	Northern high-latitudes				Mounded elongated drift (?)		2005 Evans et al., 2005; Solheim et al., 2005
Northern Faroe Slide Complex	Faroe Islands, offshore UK, Norwegian Sea	Northern high-latitudes			14 to 30 cm/ka	Mounded, elongated drift (Faroe drift)		Rasmussen et al., 1996, 1998; Van Weering et al., 1998; Kuijpers et al., 2001; Long et al., 2004
AFEN Slide	Offshore UK, Faroe-Shetland Channel	Northern high-latitudes	~0.153 km <sup>3</sup> (all phases)	1 to 3°	Up to 10 cm/ka	Sheeted to mounded drift (West Shetland drift)	Sandy layer (?)	Knutz and Cartwright, 2004; Wilson et al., 2004
Rockall Bank Slide Complex	Offshore Ireland, Rockall Trough	Northern high-latitudes	265 to 765 km <sup>3</sup>	5 to 10°	5 to 17.1 cm/ka	Elongated, mounded drift (Feni drift)	Weak layers	Van Weering and Rijk, 1991; Faugères et al., 1999; Georgiopolou et al., 2013, 2019
-	Offshore eastern Canada, North Atlantic	Northern mid-latitudes				Plastered drift (?)		Piper, 2005
-	Grand Banks, offshore eastern Canada,	Northern mid-latitudes		2°	Up to 50 cm/ka	Plastered drift	Lithological and geotechnical contrasts	Rashid et al., 2017

North Atlantic								
-	Pianosa Ridge, Mediterranean Sea	Northern mid-latitudes		3 to 10° (locally 20°)	13 cm/ka	Plastered drift	Over-steepening	Miramontes et al., 2016, 2018
-	Gela and south Adriatic Basin, Mediterranean Sea	Northern mid-latitudes	0.1 to 0.2 km <sup>3</sup> (individual mass transport deposits)	~3°	22.5 cm/ka	Elongated and separated drifts	Mechanical boundary, clay layer	Minisini et al., 2007; Verdicchio and Trincardi, 2008
-	SW Mallorca Island, Mediterranean Sea	Northern mid-latitudes		1.3 to 2.9°	5.8 cm/ka (?)	Mounded, elongated drifts		Lüdmann et al., 2008
-	Alboran Sea, Mediterranean Sea	Northern mid-latitudes				Contourite depositional system		Ercilla et al., 2016
-	Levant Basin, Mediterranean Sea	Northern mid-latitudes	Generally <1 km <sup>3</sup> (individual landslides)	>4°	25 to 130 cm/ka	Plastered drift	Over-steepening	Katz et al., 2015; Hübscher et al., 2016
-	Bahamas Bank	Norther low-latitudes	2 to 20 km <sup>3</sup> (individual landslides)	~3°		Plastered drift	Stratigraphic control (?)	Mulder et al., 2011; Principaud et al., 2015; Tournadour et al., 2015
-	Offshore Uruguay	Southern mid-latitudes	<2 km <sup>3</sup> (individual landslides)	1-3°	8 to 18 cm/ka	Contourite depositional system	Lithological control	Henkel et al., 2011; Krastel et al., 2011; Ai et al., 2014; Hernández-Molina et al., 2016

-	Offshore Argentina	Southern mid-latitudes	3 to 7°	Up to 1.6 m/ka	Contourite depositional system	Lithological control; over-steepening	Hernández-Molina et al., 2009; Ai et al, 2014; Krastel et al., 2011; Preu et al., 2013
-	Offshore Antarctic Peninsula, Pacific Ocean	Southern low-latitudes	2 to 3°	Decrease from 18 to ~8 cm/ka	Mounded drifts	Under-cutting; weak layer	Iwai et al., 2002 ; Volpi et al., 2003, 2011

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

<b>Unit and depth range</b>	<b>General sedimentological description</b>	<b>MSCL characterisation</b>	<b>XRF characterisation</b>	<b>Possible deposit interpretation</b>
Unit 1 (0 – 0.33 m)	Muddy sand	Lower magnetic susceptibility no distinct trends in other geophysical properties	>Ca/Fe; No distinct Ca/Sr or Fe/K trend	Recent current reworked deposits
Unit 2 (0.33 – 7.11 m)	Stratified unit, consisting of bioturbated clayey silt to silty clay and sandy silt to silty sand layers; drop stones in the upper part of the unit	Strong variations in P-wave velocity, gamma-ray density, fractional porosity and magnetic susceptibility; down-core increase in p-wave velocity and gamma-ray density, and decrease in fractional porosity	Strong variations especially in Ca/Fe	Post-glacial deposits, with variable pulses of sediment flux including melt-water plumes
Unit 3 (7.11– 7.32 m)	Sandy silt layer; mud clasts	High P-wave velocity and electrical resistivity	Increase in Ca/Sr; decrease in Ca/Fe; distinct increase in Fe/K	Sandy contourite, reworked from immediate post-glacial meltwater-derived sediments
Unit 4 (7.32 – 10.00 m)	Relatively homogeneous bioturbated silty clay to clayey silt; drop stones throughout the unit	Distinct and abrupt decrease in P-wave velocity, gamma-ray density and electrical resistivity, and increase in fractional porosity at contact with Unit 3; less variation in magnetic susceptibility	Relatively constant element ratios; higher average Ca/Sr (and peak); lower average Ca/Fe; higher average Fe/K	Steady glaciomarine deposition
Unit 5 (10.00 m – end)	Clayey silt to sandy silt	Distinct and abrupt increase in magnetic susceptibility at contact with Unit 4; slight increase in P-wave velocity and gamma-ray density	Slightly variations in Ca/Sr; increasing Ca/Fe; distinct increase in Fe/K;	Steady interstadial deposition



<b>Sample</b>	<b>Unit 3</b>	<b>Unit 4</b>
LL (%)	26.5	56.1
PL (%)	-	25
$\gamma'$ (kN/m <sup>3</sup> )	9.5	9.5
$\sigma'_n$ (kPa)	170	170
$T_{\text{peak}}$ (kPa)	173	109
n	0.43	0.55
$c_v$ (m <sup>2</sup> /s)	$5.2 \times 10^{-4}$	$7.6 \times 10^{-5}$
k (m/s)	$4.3 \times 10^{-7}$	$7.8 \times 10^{-8}$

LL is the Liquid Limit, PL is the Plastic Limit,  $\gamma'$  is the effective unit weight,  $\sigma'_n$  is the effective normal stress,  $T_{\text{peak}}$  is the peak shear strength, n is the porosity,  $c_v$  is the compressibility, and k is the permeability.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

















